

Figure 2. Enthalpy of di-1-norbornyldiazene (2) and the transition states for isomerization and decomposition. See caption for Figure 1. <sup>a</sup> Estimated.

strain.<sup>5,16</sup> Furthermore, it will be noted in Table II that  $\lambda_{max}$  for **2c** lies between the 380 nm found for *cis*-diisopropyldiazene and the 447 nm for 3c. It has been proposed that  $\lambda_{max}$  is a function of N-N-C bond angle,<sup>17</sup> which in turn should govern the strain energy.<sup>16</sup> In fact, the strain energy of 2c is intermediate between that of cis-diisopropyldiazene and 3c. We therefore have a self-consistent picture in which the lower strain in 2c relative to 3c coupled with the higher  $\Delta H_{dec}^{\dagger}$  of **2t** (lower stability of incipient radical) combine to make decomposition of 2c energetically inaccessible.

1 resembles 2 in its low quantum yield of nitrogen but the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of **1c**, which is the longest ever found for an acyclic cis diazene, is not far from that of 3c. Its strain energy probably lies in the region of 24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which places the transition state for isomerization at 50 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Since some nitrogen is evolved on heating 1c, the transition state for decomposition must not be much above 50 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ . This figure is considerably lower than the reported  $\Delta H_{dec}^{\dagger}$ of 1t (cf. Table I), a value quite possibly in error.<sup>18</sup>

A final note concerns the effect of ground state energies of cis diazenes on  $\Delta H^{\pm}$  of isomerization. *cis*-Diisopropyldiazene possesses 8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> of strain and  $\Delta H_{\rm isom}^{\pm}$  is 32.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Both cis diazenes studied here are more strained than cis-diisopropyldiazene and also have lower values of  $\Delta H_{isom}^{\ddagger}$ . Although variations in  $\Delta S_{isom}^{\ddagger}$  make quantitative arguments tenuous, it is gratifying to note that the very strained 1c isomerizes more readily than the less strained 2c.

These results then, allow one to predict when stable cis dialkyldiazenes might be isolable, namely, when decomposition is impeded by radical instability and when isomerization is not facilitated by bulky substituted groups.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation and the National Science Foundation for support to P.S.E., R.A.M., and M.A.P. and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support to J.W.T. and S.S.

### **References and Notes**

- G. S. Hartley, *Nature (London)*, **140**, 281 (1937).
  R. F. Hutton and C. Steel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 745 (1964).
  L. D. Vogel, A. M. Rennert, and C. Steel, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-*
- (5) P. S. Engel and D. J. Bishop, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 6754 (1975).
- (6) E. V. Brown and R. Granneman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 621 (1975); E. A. Talaty and J. C. Fargo, Chem. Commun., 65 (1967); T. H. Golson,

Louisiana State University in New Orleans, 1971.

- (7) N. A. Porter and M. O. Funk, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 263 (1973); N. A. Porter and L. J. Marnett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4361 (1973)
- (8) Above 110 °C, cis-diisopropyldiazene decomposes faster than It isomerizes.<sup>3</sup>
- (9) M. Prochazka, O. Ryba, and P. Lim, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 33, 3387 (1968)
- (10) J. Hinz, A. Oberlinner, and C. Rüchardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975 (1973).
- (11) J. C. Martin and J. W. Timberlake, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 978 (1970).
- (12) P. D. Bartlett and P. S. Engel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 2960 (1968).
- (13) A. W. Garner, J. W. Timberlake, P. S. Engel, and R. A. Melaugh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 7377 (1975).
- (14) The authors wish to thank Professor W. W. Wendlandt for the use of his DSC
- (15) The enthalpy of vaporization of 2c is very unlikely to exceed that of 2t by more than 2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, based on data for dilsopropyldiazene. See P. S. Engel, J. L. Wood, J. A. Sweet, and J. L. Margrave, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 96, 2381 (1974).
- (16) P. S. Engel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 1972 (1976).
- (17) N. C. Baird, P. deMayo, J. R. Swenson, and M. C. Usselman, J. Chem.
- (17) N. C. Bardy, P. demayo, J. N. Swerison, and M. C. Ossennan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 314 (1973). (18) For example, the  $\Delta S^{\pm}$  for 11 listed in Table I is one of the largest ever reported. If one assumes  $\Delta S^{\pm} = 10$  eu and  $k_{300^{\circ}} = 6.32 \times 10^{-4}$ s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>19</sup> a more reasonable value of  $\Delta H^{\pm} = 48.4$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is obtained.

Paul S. Engel,\* Robert A. Melaugh, Matthew A. Page Department of Chemistry, Rice University Houston, Texas 77001

S. Szilagyi, J. W. Timberlake\*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Orleans New Orleans, Louisiana 70122 Received January 9, 1976

## Thermochemistry of Cyclic 1,2-Dialkyldiazenes (Azo Compounds). The Effect of Strain Energy on Thermal Lability

Sir:

Despite the potential utility of thermochemical data in understanding the decomposition of 1,2-dialkyldiazenes,<sup>1</sup> their heats of formation  $(\Delta H_f)$  have seldom been measured. Prior to 1973, most  $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ 's for these compounds were estimated from Coates and Sutton's early study of diisopropyldiazene (1);<sup>2</sup> however, more recent work<sup>3</sup> showed their value to be in error by nearly 11 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ .

The present report deals mainly with ring strain in cyclic diazenes but it also includes new data<sup>4</sup> on di-tert-butyldiazene (2), whose first reported<sup>3</sup>  $\Delta H_{\rm f}$  was unexpectedly low. Moreover, the present enthalpies of vaporization  $(\Delta H_{\rm v})$ were determined by vaporization calorimetry<sup>6</sup> and are considerably more accurate than those measured earlier.<sup>3</sup>

Strain energies are calculated by comparison of specific pairs of compounds. For all cases in which the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom is fully substituted, 2 is taken as the reference compound; however, in the case of 5, diisopropyldiazene (1) is a more appropriate model. A sample calculation of the strain energy of 4 is given below, using group contributions taken from the compilation of Benson and O'Neal.<sup>7</sup> For 2:  $\Delta H_{\rm f}$  =  $-8.51 = 6[C-(C)(H)_3] + 2[C-(C)_3(N)] + [-N=N-].$ Since  $[C_{-}(C)(H)_{3}] = -10.08$ , it follows that  $2[C_{-}$  $(C)_3(N)$ ] + [-N=N-] = 51.97. For 4:  $\Delta H_f$  (calcd) =  $2[C_{-}(C)_{3}(N)] + [-N=N_{-}] + 4[C_{-}(C)(H)_{3}] + 2[C_{-}$  $(C)_2(H)_2$  = 51.97-40.32-9.90 = 1.75 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Strain  $= \Delta H_{\rm f} ({\rm obsd}) - \Delta H_{\rm f} ({\rm calcd}) = 10.03 - 1.75 = 8.28 \text{ kcal}$  $mol^{-1}$ 

Table II summarizes the strain energies thus calculated.<sup>8</sup> Part of the 2.7-15.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> strain in these compounds must be due to the energy difference between the cis and trans diazene linkage. Although it is now clear that the cis is normally of higher energy,9 the magnitude of the cistrans difference remains unmeasured. It is suggested here

<sup>(19)</sup> C. Rüchardt, private communication.

Table I. Thermochemical Data for Six 1,2-Dialkyldiazenes<sup>a</sup>

Compound	State	$\Delta H_{\mathbf{f}}^{\circ}(\mathbf{s},1)^{b,c}$	$\Delta H_{v}^{od}$	$\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}(g)^{c}$	
	(1)	-0.06 ± 0.84	8.58 ± 0.10	8.52 ± 0.85	
$\chi^{N_{NN}}\chi$	(1)	-17.85 ± 0.86	9.34 ± 0.06	-8.51 ± 0.87	
X	(s)	-5.34 ± 0.84	14.73 ± 0.05	9.39 ± 0.85	
	(1)	-1.93 ± 1.09	11.96 ± 0.10	10.03 ± 1.10	
4 N 5	(\$)	36.35 ± 0.62	13.21 ± 0.15	49.56 ± 0.64	
G K N	(s)	4.88 ± 1.04	17.20 ± 0.13	22.08 ± 1.05	

<sup>a</sup> All values in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>b</sup> Heat of formation of solid or liquid. <sup>c</sup> Tolerances include errors from every conceivable source. <sup>d</sup> Heat of vaporization; tolerances are twice the standard deviation.

Table II. Strain Energies and Activation Enthalpies  $(\Delta H^{\ddagger})$  for Decomposition of Dialkyldiazenes<sup>a</sup>

Compound	Strain energy	Obsd $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$	Calcd transition state energy, E*
1	(0)	47.3b	47.3
2	(0)	42.2 <sup>c</sup>	42.2
3	2.7	36.9d	39.6
4	8.3	(34.3) <sup>e</sup>	42.6
5	15.4	36.2 <i>d</i> , <i>f</i>	51.6
6	10.1	42.58	52.6

<sup>a</sup> All entries in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>b</sup>M. J. Perona, P. C. Beadle, and D. M. Golden, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 5, 495 (1973). cJ. C. Martin and J. W. Timberlake, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 978 (1970). d Calculated from the data of R. J. Crawford and A. Mishra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 3963 (1966). e Value for 3,6-dimethyl-3-6-diethyl-1,2-diazacyclohexene-1 from N. A. Porter, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1969. JS. G. Cohen, R. Zand, and C. Steel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 2895 (1961). gPreliminary value determined by Lan Shen at Rice University.

that the strain in 4 is representative of this difference in acyclic compounds while the lesser strain in 3 is due to an especially favorable geometry for the diazene linkage. Those familiar with the strain energy in cyclopentene (5.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and cyclohexene  $(1.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})^7$  will have already recognized from Table II that monocyclic diazenes bear little resemblance to olefins. It appears that mutual repulsion of the lone pairs on nitrogen raises the energy of the cis compounds<sup>10</sup> and that this repulsion is reduced by decreasing the N-N-C angle. An acyclic cis diazene cannot achieve the especially favorable geometry found in 3 because of repulsion between cis alkyl groups.

The above suppositions are supported by uv and photoelectron spectroscopy data. Baird et al.<sup>11</sup> have suggested that the  $n-\pi^*$  excitation energy for diazenes is a sensitive function of N-N-C angle. The uv spectrum of 4 ( $\lambda_{max}$  380 nm,  $\epsilon$  127) is nearly superimposable on that of cis-1 ( $\lambda_{max}$ 382  $\epsilon$  140). Similarly, the lowest ionization potential (IP), which reflects the energy of the antibonding combination of nitrogen n orbitals, can be used as an indicator of diazene geometry.<sup>12</sup> When a 0.27 eV correction is made for the inductive effect of two alkyl groups in 4 (IP = 7.89 eV) vs. cis-1 (IP = 8.24 eV), their IP's are found to lie within 0.07 eV of one another, implying similar N-N-C bond angles.<sup>13,14</sup> The idea that **4** has the same diazene geometry as cis-1 coupled with the assumption that nonbonded interactions are similar in these two compounds allows one to conclude that both possess the same amount of strain energy relative to the model diazene 2. Independent support for this 8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> estimate of the cis-trans energy difference in 1 is found in the inherent 8 kcal  $mol^{-1}$  difference between activation energies for thermolysis of cis and trans diazenes<sup>16</sup> and in the consistent model generated for the photolysis of *cis*- and *trans*-1 when 8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is taken as the ground state energy difference.17

Unlike 4, compound 3 is considered to be a poor model for an acyclic cis diazene. Both its uv spectrum ( $\lambda_{max}$  327 nm,  $\epsilon = 204$ ) and lowest IP (8.63 eV) imply that the energy of the HOMO has decreased and therefore that the N-N-C angle has decreased relative to 4. This geometry change is in accord with its lower total energy than cis-1, since the interfering hydrogen atoms have been removed to form a ring.

Included in Table II are the experimentally determined activation enthalpies  $(\Delta H^{\pm})$  for thermally induced extrusion of nitrogen from diazenes. The transition state energy  $(E^*)$  is given in the last column as the sum of  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and the ground state strain energy. Although  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  is known to depend on the stability of the incipient alkyl radicals,<sup>1</sup> comparison of compounds producing the same kind of radicals should reveal other transition state effects. The difference in  $E^*$  between 2 and 3, which is barely outside of experimental error, suggests that the transition state for thermolysis of 3 possesses extra stabilization. This is consistent either with decomposition to a 0,0 trimethylene intermediate whose energy is lowered by interaction between the radical centers, or with concerted loss of nitrogen through a twisted transition state which is stabilized by partial cyclopropane bond formation.<sup>18</sup>

The bicyclic diazenes 5 and 6 undergo thermolysis with considerably greater difficulty than expected, suggesting that the transition states still possess considerable strain or that their decomposition mechanism differs from that of 2. Two-bond cleavage of diazenes may proceed through a geometry which is unattainable in the bicyclic series, forcing 5 and 6 to decompose via the higher energy one-bond mechanism. Indeed there is already stereochemical evidence that thermolysis of 5 proceeds by way of a diazenyl radical.<sup>19</sup> The present results do not require the one-bond mechanism for 3, in accord with previous studies on pyrazoline decomposition.<sup>18,20</sup> In the case of 4, the most expedient explanation for its similar  $E^*$  to 2 (cf. Table II) is that all of the ground state destabilization of 4 appears as a lower  $\Delta H^{\pm}$ . no special effects seem to operate in the tetramethylene biradical and both 2 and 4 decompose by simultaneous twobond scission.21

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank the National Science Foundation and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for financial support of this work. Critical comments from Professor James P. Synder are gratefully acknowledged.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) For excellent reviews of 1,2-dialkyldiazene thermolysis, see O. P. Strausz, J. W. Lown, and H. E. Gunning in "Comprehensive Chemical Kinetics", Vol. 5, C. H. Bamford and C. F. H. Tipper, Ed., Elsevier, New York, N.Y., 1972, and T. Koenig in "Free Radicals", Vol. 1, J. K. Kochi, Internet and Inter Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973. G. E. Coates and L. E. Sutton, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1187 (1948). P. S. Engel, J. L. Wood, J. A. Sweet, and J. L. Margrave, *J. Am. Chem.*
- Soc., 96, 2381 (1974).

- (4) Experimental details for these and other compounds will appear elsewhere.<sup>5</sup>
- (5) P. S. Engel, R. A. Melaugh, F. D. Rossini, M. Mansson, J. W. Timber-lake, and A. W. Garner, J. Chem. Thermodyn., in press.
- The author is grateful to Dr. Margret Mansson, University of Lund, Sweden, for carrying out these measurements. See ref 5 for experimental details.
- (7) S. W. Benson and H. E. O'Neal, Natl. Stand. Ref. Data Ser., Natl. Bur. Stand., No. 21, (1970). (8) The strain energies reported previously<sup>3</sup> for 5 and 6 were based on a
- cis-diazene model. For leading references, see E. R. Talaty, A. K. Schwartz, and G. Si-
- mons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 972 (1975); J. M. Howell and L. J. Kirschenbaum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 877 (1976). (10) T. Mill and R. S. Stringham, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1853 (1969).
- (11) N. C. Baird, P. deMayo, J. R. Swenson, and M. C. Usselman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 314 (1973). (12) K. N. Houk, Y. M. Chang, and P. S. Engel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 1824
- (1975)
- (13) Although structural data on cis diazenes are seriously lacking, a bond angle of 120-125° seems reasonable for *cis*-1. An earlier estimate of 130-140° is probably too large.
- (14) Possible complications introduced by nonplanarity of diazenes are (14) Possible completences and the possible completences are being neglected because theoretical calculations show that even *cis*-di-*tert*-butylethylene is nearly planar<sup>15</sup> and that the potential energy curve for twisting about the N==N bond is similar to that for the same process in olefins.<sup>11</sup>
   (15) O. Ermer and S. Lifson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 4121 (1973).
- (16) P. S. Engel and D. J. Bishop, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 6754 (1975).
- (17) L. D. Fogel and C. Steel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
- (11) E. D. Togorano V. K. Koler, W. M. Chem. One. M. Ober, m. Poss. (18) For a nice discussion of the trimethylene problem, see R. G. Bergman in "Free Radicals", Vol. 1, J. K. Kochi, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973
- (19) W. R. Roth and M. Martin, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 702, 1 (1967). (20) R. J. Crawford and M. Ohno, Can. J. Chem., 52, 3134 (1974).
- (21) L. Kiefer and J. W. Timberlake (University of New Orleans) have recently obtained a value of  $\Delta H^{\pm}$  for **3** in solution larger than Crawford and Mishra's gas phase figure. Thus the observed differences in E\* for 2, 3, and 4 (Table II) are probably nothing more than experimental error.

#### Paul S. Engel

Department of Chemistry, Rice University Houston, Texas 77001 Received November 3, 1975

# Spectroscopic Evidence for the Generation of Singlet Oxygen in Self-Reaction of sec-Peroxy Radicals

Sir:

The role of singlet molecular oxygen  $({}^{1}O_{2})$  in enzymemediated peroxidation reactions in vivo has been an area of considerable interest in recent years. Howes and Steele have demonstrated chemiluminescence in the system containing rat liver microsomes and NADPH, and postulated the generation of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  in the process of lipid peroxidation.<sup>1</sup> We have recently succeeded in the direct spectroscopic determination for the generation of <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> in the NADPH-dependent microsomal lipid peroxidation system.<sup>2</sup>

It has been suggested that dismutation of superoxide anions produces  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  (eq 1).<sup>3,4</sup> Superoxide dismutase inhibits the generation of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  in eq 1 by catalyzing the dismutation of  $O_2$ .<sup>-</sup> to give ground state molecular oxygen.<sup>5</sup>

0774

$$2O_2 \cdot \overline{\phantom{a}} \xrightarrow{2H} \cdot O_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{1}$$

The addition of the dismutase to the microsomal lipid peroxidation system, however, did not inhibit the light emission originated from bimol collision of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , indicating the  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ generation in this system does not involve eq 1.2 Thus, we believe that <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> is generated by the interaction of lipid peroxide intermediates (radicals) which might be produced during phospholipid peroxidation. This is supported by the finding that <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> is generated in the self-reaction of secbutyl peroxy radicals (eq 2).<sup>6</sup>



We have now identified  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  spectroscopically in self-reactions of linoleic acid peroxy radicals (possible intermediates in NADPH-dependent microsomal lipid peroxidation) identical with the case of sec-butylperoxy radicals, and have proved the generation of two types of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , both  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}$ and  ${}^{1}\Delta_{g}$ , during these reactions even in aqueous system.

Peroxy radicals were produced from sec-butyl hydroperoxide<sup>7</sup> or linoleic acid hydroperoxide<sup>8</sup> by oxidation with ceric ion. Either linoleic acid hydroperoxide (0.127 mmol) or sec-butyl hydroperoxide (0.15 mmol) in 15 ml of 0.1 M NH<sub>4</sub>OH-NH<sub>4</sub>Cl buffer (pH 9.0) was transferred to a quartz cell in a new type of spectrometer<sup>9</sup> and, in the dark, excess ceric ammonium nitrate (1.27 mmol in 2 ml of water) was slowly added to the solution through a capillarly tube to maintain a constant generation of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  without vigorous bubbling. The solution was not stirred during spectral analysis. The ceric oxidation of sec-butyl hydroperoxide (0.15 mmol) in 15 ml of benzene, a well-known  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  generating system, was also carried out according to a modified method of Howard and Ingold.<sup>6</sup>

The data obtained from the spectral analysis of chemiluminescence in the aqueous systems (system 1 and 2 contained sec-butyl hydroperoxide and linoleic acid hydroperoxide as the source of *sec*-peroxy radicals, respectively) are summarized in Table I, and the typical emission spectrum

Table I. Emission Spectra Observed during Ceric Oxidation of Hydroperoxides

Vibrational transition	I <sub>max</sub> obsd, f nm			
of ${}^{1}O_{2}$	System 1	System 2	System 3	ref
$({}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}) ({}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}) (0, 0) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{-}) (0, 0)$	None	None	None	400 <i>a</i>
$({}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}) ({}^{1}\Delta_{g}) (0, 0) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{-}) (0, 0)$	480 (0.45)	470 (0.26)	None	478 <i>b</i> 480 <i>a</i>
$({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}) ({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}) (2, 0) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{-5}) (0, 0)$	520-530 (1.00)	520-530 (0.43)	512-520 (0.30)	520 <i>ª</i>
$({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}^{5}) ({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}^{5}) (1, 0) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{5-}) (0, 0)$	570 (0.62)	570 (0.90)	595 (0.85)	578c 580a
$({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}) ({}^{1}\Delta_{\sigma}) (0, 0) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{5-}) (0, 0)$	620-640 (0.66)	640 (0.64)	630 (1.00)	633 <i>c</i> , d
Unspecified transition	<b>670</b> (0.67)	670 (1.00)	680 (0.80)	670 <i>e</i>
$({}^{1}\Delta_{g}) ({}^{1}\Delta_{g}) (0, 1) \rightarrow 2({}^{3}\Sigma_{g}) (0, 0)$	_	_	_	703d

<sup>a</sup>K. Furukawa, E. W. Gray, and E. A. Ogryzlo, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 171, 175 (1970). <sup>b</sup>A. U. Khan and M. Kasha, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 1574 (1966). CH. H. Seliger, J. Chem. Phys., 40, 3133 (1964); R. J. Brown and E. A. Ogryzlo, Proc. Chem. Soc. London, 117 (1964). <sup>d</sup> A. U. Khan and M. Kasha, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 3293 (1970). <sup>e</sup>U. Sander and J. Stauff, An. Soc. Quim. Argent., 59, 149 (1971). <sup>f</sup>Number in parentheses represents the relative intensity in mean value which was obtained by three different runs.